

Decision of the Dispute Resolution Chamber (DRC) judge

passed on 11 July 2019,

by Jon Newman (USA), DRC judge,

on the claim presented by the player,

Player A, Country B,

as Claimant

against the club,

Club C, Country D

as Respondent

regarding an employment-related dispute between the parties in connection with overdue payables



I. Facts of the case

- 1. On 26 May 2018, the Player of Country B, Player A (hereinafter: *Claimant*), and the Club of Country D, Club C (hereinafter: *Respondent*) signed an employment contract valid as from the date of signature until 25 November 2018.
- 2. In accordance with the employment contract, the Respondent undertook to pay to the Claimant USD 7,500 per month, payable "no later than the 7th of the following month".
- 3. By correspondence dated 18 April 2019, the Claimant put the Respondent in default of payment of USD 15,000, corresponding to the monthly salaries of October 2018 and November 2018, setting a 10 days' time limit in order to remedy the default.
- 4. The Claimant lodged a claim against the Respondent in front of FIFA asking that the Respondent be ordered to pay to him overdue payables in the amount of USD 15,000, corresponding to the monthly salaries of October 2018 and November 2018.
- 5. The Claimant further asked to be awarded interest of 5% *p.a.* as of the respective due dates until the date of effective payment.
- 6. In spite of having been invited to do so, the Respondent has not replied to the claim.

II. Considerations of the DRC judge

- 1. First of all, the DRC judge analysed whether he was competent to deal with the matter at hand. In this respect, he took note that the present matter was submitted to FIFA on 6 May 2019. Consequently, the Rules Governing the Procedures of the Players' Status Committee and the Dispute Resolution Chamber (edition 2018; hereinafter: *Procedural Rules*) are applicable to the matter at hand (cf. art. 21 of the Procedural Rules).
- 2. Subsequently, the DRC judge referred to art. 3 par. 2 and par. 3 of the Procedural Rules and confirmed that in accordance with art. 24 par. 1 and par. 2 in conjunction with art. 22 lit. b of the Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players (edition 2019) he is competent to deal with the matter at stake, which concerns an employment-related dispute with an international dimension between a Player of Country B and a Club of Country D.



- 3. Furthermore, the DRC judge analysed which regulations should be applicable as to the substance of the matter. In this respect, he confirmed that in accordance with art. 26 par. 1 and par. 2 of the Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players (edition 2019), and considering that the present claim was lodged on 6 May 2019, the June 2018 edition of said regulations (hereinafter: *Regulations*) is applicable to the matter at hand as to the substance.
- 4. The competence of the DRC judge and the applicable regulations having been established, the DRC judge entered into the substance of the matter. In this respect, the DRC judge started by acknowledging all the above-mentioned facts as well as the arguments and the documentation on file. However, the DRC judge emphasised that in the following considerations he will refer only to the facts, arguments and documentary evidence, which he considered pertinent for the assessment of the matter at hand.
- 5. Having said this, the DRC judge acknowledged that the Claimant and the Respondent signed an employment contract valid as from 26 May 2018 until 25 November 2018, in accordance with which the Claimant was entitled to receive from the Respondent, inter alia, USD 7,500 per month payable "no later than the 7th of the following month".
- 6. The Claimant lodged a claim against the Respondent in front of FIFA, maintaining that the Respondent has overdue payables towards him in the total amount of USD 15,000, corresponding to the monthly salaries of October 2018 and November 2018.
- 7. In this context, the DRC judge took particular note of the fact that, on 18 April 2019, the Claimant put the Respondent in default of payment of the aforementioned amounts, setting a 10 days' time limit in order to remedy the default.
- 8. Consequently, the DRC judge concluded that the Claimant had duly proceeded in accordance with art. 12bis par. 3 of the Regulations, which stipulates that the creditor (player or club) must have put the debtor club in default in writing and have granted a deadline of at least ten days for the debtor club to comply with its financial obligation(s).
- 9. Subsequently, the DRC judge took into account that the Respondent, for its part, failed to present its response to the claim of the Claimant, in spite of having been invited to do so. In this way, the DRC judge considered that the Respondent renounced its right to defence and thus accepted the allegations of the Claimant.



- 10. Furthermore, as a consequence of the aforementioned consideration, the DRC judge concurred that in accordance with art. 9 par. 3 of the Procedural Rules he shall take a decision upon the basis of the documents already on file, in other words, upon the statements and documents presented by the Claimant.
- 11. Having said this, the DRC judge acknowledged that, in accordance with the employment contract provided by the Claimant, the Respondent was obliged to pay to the Claimant USD 7,500 per month.
- 12. Taking into account the documentation presented by the Claimant in support of his petition, the DRC judge concluded that the Claimant had substantiated his claim pertaining to overdue payables with sufficient documentary evidence.
- 13. On account of the aforementioned considerations, the DRC judge established that the Respondent failed to remit the Claimant's remuneration in the total amount of USD 15,000, corresponding to the monthly salaries of October 2018 and November 2018.
- 14. In addition, the DRC judge established that the Respondent had delayed a due payment for more than 30 days without a *prima facie* contractual basis.
- 15. Consequently, the DRC judge decided that, in accordance with the general legal principle of *pacta sunt servanda*, the Respondent is liable to pay to the Claimant overdue payables in the total amount of USD 15,000.
- 16. In addition, taking into account the Claimant's request as well as the constant practice of the Dispute Resolution Chamber, the DRC judge decided that the Respondent must pay to the Claimant interest of 5% *p.a.* on each of the relevant payments as of the day following the day on which the relevant payments fell due.
- 17. In continuation, taking into account the consideration under number II./14. above, the DRC judge referred to art.12bis par. 2 of the Regulations which stipulates that any club found to have delayed a due payment for more than 30 days without a *prima facie* contractual basis may be sanctioned in accordance with art. 12bis par. 4 of the Regulations.
- 18. The DRC judge established that in virtue of art. 12bis par. 4 of the Regulations he has competence to impose sanctions on the Respondent. Therefore, and in the absence of the circumstance of repeated offence, the DRC judge decided to impose a warning on the Respondent in accordance with art. 12bis par. 4 lit. a) of the Regulations.



- 19. In this connection, the DRC judge wished to highlight that a repeated offence will be considered as an aggravating circumstance and lead to more severe penalty in accordance with art. 12bis par. 6 of the Regulations.
- 20. Furthermore, taking into account the consideration under number II./3. above, the DRC Judge referred to par. 1 and 2 of art. 24bis of the Regulations, which stipulate that, with its decision, the pertinent FIFA deciding body shall also rule on the consequences deriving from the failure of the concerned party to pay the relevant amounts of outstanding remuneration and/or compensation in due time.
- 21. In this regard, the DRC Judge pointed out that, against clubs, the consequence of the failure to pay the relevant amounts in due time shall consist of a ban from registering any new players, either nationally or internationally, up until the due amounts are paid and for the maximum duration of three entire and consecutive registration periods.
- 22. Therefore, bearing in mind the above, the DRC Judge decided that, in the event that the Respondent does not pay the amounts due to the Claimant within 45 days as from the moment in which the Claimant, following the notification of the present decision, communicates the relevant bank details to the Respondent, a ban from registering any new players, either nationally or internationally, for the maximum duration of three entire and consecutive registration periods shall become effective on the Respondent in accordance with art. 24bis par. 2 and 4 of the Regulations.
- 23. The DRC Judge recalled that the above-mentioned ban will be lifted immediately and prior to its complete serving upon payment of the due amounts, in accordance with art. 24bis par. 3 of the Regulations.



III. Decision of the DRC judge

- 1. The claim of the Claimant, Player A, is accepted.
- 2. The Respondent, Club C, has to pay to the Claimant the amount of USD 15,000 plus interest calculated as follows:
 - a. 5% p.a. on the amount of USD 7,500 as from 8 November 2018 until the date of effective payment;
 - b. 5% p.a. on the amount of USD 7,500 as from 8 December 2018 until the date of effective payment.
- 3. A warning is imposed on the Respondent.
- 4. The Claimant is directed to inform the Respondent, immediately and directly, preferably to the e-mail address as indicated on the cover letter of the present decision, of the relevant bank account to which the Respondent must pay the amount mentioned under point 2 above.
- 5. The Respondent shall provide evidence of payment of the due amount in accordance with point 2 above to FIFA to the e-mail address psdfifa@fifa.org, duly translated, if need be, into one of the official FIFA languages (English, French, German, Spanish).
- 6. In the event that the amount due plus interest in accordance with point 2 above is not paid by the Respondent <u>within 45 days</u> as from the notification by the Claimant of the relevant bank details to the Respondent, the Respondent shall be banned from registering any new players, either nationally or internationally, up until the due amount is paid and for the maximum duration of three entire and consecutive registration periods (cf. art. 24bis of the Regulations on the Status and Transfer of Players).
- 7. The ban mentioned in point 6 above will be lifted immediately and prior to its complete serving, once the due amount is paid.



8. In the event that the aforementioned sum plus interest is still not paid by the end of the ban of three entire and consecutive registration periods, the present matter shall be submitted, upon request, to FIFA's Disciplinary Committee for consideration and a formal decision.

Note relating to the motivated decision (legal remedy):

According to article 58 par. 1 of the FIFA Statutes, this decision may be appealed against before the Court of Arbitration for Sport (CAS). The statement of appeal must be sent to the CAS directly within 21 days of receipt of notification of this decision and shall contain all the elements in accordance with point 2 of the directives issued by the CAS, a copy of which we enclose hereto. Within another 10 days following the expiry of the time limit for filing the statement of appeal, the appellant shall file a brief stating the facts and legal arguments giving rise to the appeal with the CAS (cf. point 4 of the directives).

The full address and contact numbers of the CAS are the following:

Court of Arbitration for Sport
Avenue de Beaumont 2
1012 Lausanne
Switzerland
Tel: +41 21 613 50 00
Fax: +41 21 613 50 01

e-mail: info@tas-cas.org www.tas-cas.org

For the DRC judge:	
Emilio García Silvero	-
Chief Legal Officer	

Encl. CAS directives